

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/875,415	GOLDSACK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	

**All Participants:**

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) Richard Berg.

**Status of Application:** Response to Non-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 March 2005

**Time:** 1pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
1-23

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*During a telephone conversation with Richard Berg on 3/7/2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-21 and 23.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPB Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)